

### **REMARKS**

In the Office Action dated March 25, 2004, the Examiner rejected Claims 11, 12, 14, 16-22, 24, 26-32 and 34-41 under 35 USC §103(a). However, the Examiner indicated that Claims 13, 15, 23, 25 and 33 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

Applicant amended the claims to clarify the structure which applicant believes distinguishes the invention over the cited references, to clarify the functions of the claimed invention, and to clarify the limitations within the claims drawn to such structure. However, amendments have not been made to narrow the claims of the original application but, rather simple, to clarify claims due to grammar that the Examiner found unclear.

Applicant respectfully requests that this amendment/response be considered by the Examiner and a notice of allowance be entered. If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference with the undersigned would be helpful to the allowance of this application, a telephone conference is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.



Richard R. Ruble, Reg. No. 45,720  
112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 2100  
San Antonio, Texas 78205  
Phone: 713/752-4200  
Fax: 713/752-4221  
Attorneys for Applicant



CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited on the date shown below with the United States Postal Service, via Express Mail (37 CFR 1.10), in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Response/Fee, Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

September 24, 2004  
Date

Bea Baldwin  
Bea Baldwin

**RECEIVED**

**SEP 30 2004**

**Technology Center 2100**